Case 5:11-cr-00561-EJD Document 18 Filed 11/21/11 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF A	MERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number <u>CR11-00561EJD</u>	
v. <u>YVONNE CHAVEZ</u>	, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
In accordance w Defendant was present, r Attorney John Glang.	ith the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C epresented by his attorney Michael	2. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on November 21, 2011. el Armstrong. The United States was represented by Assistant U.S.	
PART I PRESUMPTIONS	APPLICABLE		
/ / The defendence of a prior offense describ	ant is charged with an offense desired in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while	e on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a	
	ive (5) years has elapsed since the	e date of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment,	
		condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety	
of any other person and t	he community.		
There is pro	bable cause based upon (the indic	etment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant	
has committed an offens	e		
A. 🗶	801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 95	mprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 55a et seq., OR	
В	under 18 U.S.C. 8 924(c); use of	f a firearm during the commission of a felony.	
This establishes	a rebuttable presumption that no	condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the	
annearance of the defend	lant as required and the safety of t	he community.	
/ / No presum		Er.	
DADTH DEDUTAL OF	PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE		
\forall \text{The defend}	ant has not come forward with su	fficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumptions, and le	
	المعملمهما	/٧(/// 9	
/ The defendant has come forward with evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit: Plant 1 2011			
Thus, the burde	n of proof shifts back to the Unite	od States.	
therefore will be ordered detained. / The defendant has come forward with evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit: RICHARD W. WIEKING Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States. PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLICABLE) / The United States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will			
/ / The United States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will			
and the approach the appearance of the detendant as required. AND/UK			
/ / The United States has proved by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will			
reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.			
D IV MARKET EI	NUMBER OF FACT AND STATEMEN	NT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION	
/ / The Court	has taken into account the factors	set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at	
the hearing and finds as	his attorney, and the AUSA have	waived written findings.	
// Defendant,	nis attorney, and the AUSA have	Walved Williams	
PART V. DIRECTIONS	REGARDING DETENTION	torney General or his designated representative for confinement in a	
The defendant is c	ommitted to the custody of the At	rsons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appearance of a court of the	
1 C 1 111 - CC-	uded a reasonable apportunity for	private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the	
The defendant snall be allo	west of an attorney for the Govern	ment, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the	
United States or on the req	test of all attorney for the Govern	appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
defendant to the United Sta	nes Marshar for the purpose of the		
i	1 mm		
1 1			
Dated:	1 +	HOWARD R. LLOW	
11/4/1	`	United States Magistrate Judge	
t t			

AUSA ___, ATTY ____, PTS ___